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Today’s focus: the basics of scaling a web site

- I’m going to focus on performance issues
  - Parallelism and locality

- Many other issues in developing a successful web platform
  - Reliability, security, privacy, etc.
  - There are other great courses at CMU for these topics
A simple web server for static content

while (1) {
    request = wait_for_request();
    filename = parse_request(request);
    contents = read_file(filename);
    send contents as response
}

Question: is site performance a question of throughput or latency? (we’ll revisit this question later)
A simple parallel web server

```
while (1)
{
    request = wait_for_request();
    filename = parse_request(request);
    contents = read_file(filename);
    send contents as response
}
```

What factors would you consider in setting the value of N for a multi-core web server?

- **Parallelism**: use all the server’s cores
- **Latency hiding**: hide long-latency disk read operations (by context switching between worker processes)
- **Concurrency**: many outstanding requests, want to service quick requests while long requests are in progress (e.g., large file transfer)
- **Footprint**: don’t want too many threads that aggregate working set causes thrashing
Example: Apache’s parent process dynamically manages size of worker pool

Desirable to maintain a few idle workers in pool (avoid process creation in critical path of servicing requests)
Limit maximum number of workers to avoid excessive memory footprint (thrashing)

Key parameter of Apache’s “prefork” multi-processing module: `MaxRequestWorkers`
Aside: why partition into processes, not threads?

- **Protection**
  - Don’t want a crash in one worker to bring down the whole web server
  - Often want to use non-thread safe libraries (e.g., third-party libraries) in server operation

- **Parent process can periodically recycle workers**
  (robustness to memory leaks)

- **Of course, multi-threaded web server solutions exist as well**
  (e.g., Apache’s “worker” module)
Dynamic web content

“Response” is not a static page on disk, but the result of application logic running in response to a request.
Consider the amount of logic and the number database queries required to generate your Facebook News Feed.
Scripting language performance (poor)

- Two popular content management systems (PHP)
  - Wordpress ~ 12 requests/sec/core (DB size = 1000 posts)
  - MediaWiki ~ 8 requests/sec/core
    [Source: Talaria Inc.]

- Recent interest in making scripted code execute faster
  - Facebook’s HipHop: PHP to C source-to-source converter
  - Google’s V8 Javascript engine: JIT Javascript to machine code
“Scale out” to increase throughput
Use many web servers to meet site’s throughput goals.

Load balancer maintains list of available web servers and an estimate of load on each.

Distributes requests to pool of web servers. (Redistribution logic is cheap: one load balancer typically can service many web servers)
Load balancing with persistence

All requests associated with a session are directed to the same server (aka. session affinity, "sticky sessions")

Good:
- Do not have to change web-application design to implement scale out

Bad:
- Stateful servers can limit load balancing ability. Also, session is lost if server fails
Desirable: avoid persistent state in web server

Maintain stateless servers, treat sessions as persistent data to be stored in the DB.
Dealing with database contention

Option 1: “scale up”: buy better hardware for database server, buy professional-grade DB that scales
Good: no change to software
Bad: High cost, limit to scaling
Scaling out a database: replicate

Replicate data and parallelize reads (most DB accesses are reads)
Cost: extra storage, consistency issues

Adopt relaxed consistency models: propagate updates “eventually”
Scaling out a database: partition

Can tune database for access characteristics of data stored (common to use different databases: SQL vs. nosql)
Inter-request parallelism

Parallelize generation of a single page

Amount of user traffic is directly correlated to response latency.

How many web servers do you need?
Web traffic is bursty

Amazon.com Page Views

Holiday shopping season

HuffingtonPost.com Page Views Per Week

More examples:
- Facebook gears up for bursts of image uploads on Halloween and New Year’s Eve.
- Twitter topics trend after world events

HuffingtonPost.com Page Views Per Day

(fewer people read news on weekends)
Problem

- Site load is bursty

- Provisioning site for the average case load will result in poor quality of service (or failures) during peak usage
  - Peak usage tends to be when users care the most... since by the definition the site is important at these times

- Provisioning site for the peak usage case will result in many idle servers most of the time
  - Not cost efficient
Elasticity!

- Main idea: site automatically adds or shuts down web servers based on measured load

- Need source of servers available on-demand
  - Example: Amazon.com EC2 instances
Example: Amazon’s elastic compute cloud (EC2)

- Amazon had an over-provisioning problem
- Solution: make machines available for rent to others in need of compute
  - For those that don’t want to incur cost of, or have expertise to, manage own machines at scale
  - For those that need elastic compute capability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Linux/UNIX Usage</th>
<th>Windows Usage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Standard On-Demand Instances</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small (Default)</td>
<td>$0.080 per Hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>$0.160 per Hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large</td>
<td>$0.320 per Hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extra Large</td>
<td>$0.640 per Hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Micro On-Demand Instances</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micro</td>
<td>$0.020 per Hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hi-Memory On-Demand Instances</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extra Large</td>
<td>$0.450 per Hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double Extra Large</td>
<td>$0.900 per Hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadruple Extra Large</td>
<td>$1.800 per Hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hi-CPU On-Demand Instances</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>$0.165 per Hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extra Large</td>
<td>$0.660 per Hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cluster Compute Instances</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadruple Extra Large</td>
<td>$1.300 per Hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eight Extra Large</td>
<td>$2.400 per Hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cluster GPU Instances</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadruple Extra Large</td>
<td>$2.100 per Hour</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Site configuration: normal load

- Requests
- Perf. Monitor
  - Load: moderate
- Load Balancer
- Web Server
- Web Server
- Web Server
- Database
  - (potentially multiple machines)
  - DB Slave 1
  - DB Slave 2
  - Master

Load: moderate
Event triggers spike in load

Heavily loaded servers: slow response times

@justinbieber: OMG, parallel prog. class at CMU is awesome. Look 4 my final project on hair sim. #15418
Site configuration: high load

Site performance monitor detects high load
Instantiates new web server instances
Informs load balancer about presence of new servers
Site configuration: return to normal load

Site performance monitor detects low load
Kills extra server instances (to save operating cost)
Informs load balancer about loss of servers

Note convenience of stateless servers in elastic environment: can kill server without loss of important information.
Today: many “turn-key” environment-in-a-box services

Offer elastic computing environments for web applications
The story so far: parallelism scale out, scale out, scale out

(+$ elasticity to be able to scale out on demand)

Now: reuse and locality
Recall: basic site configuration

Example PHP Code

```
$query = "SELECT * FROM users WHERE username='kayvonf';
$user = mysql_fetch_array(mysql_query($userquery));

echo "<div>" . $user['FirstName'] . " " . $user['LastName'] . "</div>";
```

Response Information Flow

- HTML
- PHP 'user' object
- 'users' table

<div>Kayvon Fatahalian</div>
Work repeated every page

Example PHP Code

```php
$query = "SELECT * FROM users WHERE username='kayvonf';
$user = mysql_fetch_array(mysql_query($userquery));

echo "<div>" . $user['FirstName'] . " " . $user['LastName'] . "</div>";
```

Response Information Flow

![Diagram showing information flow from 'users' table through PHP 'user' object to HTML](image)

- Steps repeated to emit my name at the top of every page:
  - Communicate with DB
  - Perform query
  - Marshall results from database into object model of scripting language
  - Generate presentation
  - etc...

Remember, DB can be hard to scale!
Solution: cache!

- Cache commonly accessed objects
  - Example: memcached, in memory key-value store (e.g., a big hash table)
  - Reduces database load (fewer queries)
  - Reduces web server load:
    - Less data shuffling between DB response and scripting environment
    - Store intermediate results of common processing
Caching example

userid = $_SESSION[‘userid’];

check if memcache->get(userid) retrieves a valid user object

if not:
    make expensive database query
    add resulting object into cache with memcache->put()
    (so future requests involving this user can skip the query)

continue with request processing logic

- Obviously, there is complexity associated with keeping caches in sync with data in the DB in the presence of writes
- Must invalidate cache
- Very simple “first-step” solution: only cache read-only objects
- More realistic solutions provide some measure of consistency
Site configuration

Requests

Load Balancer

Perf. Monitor

Web Server

Web Server

Web Server

Web Server

Web Server

Web Server

Database (potentially multiple machines)

DB Slave 1

DB Slave 2

Master

memcached servers

value = get(key)

put(key, value)
Example: Facebook memcached deployment

- Facebook, circa 2008
  - 800 memcached servers
  - 28 TB of cached data

- Performance
  - 200,000 UDP requests per second @ 173 msec latency
  - 300,000 UDP requests per second possible at “unacceptable” latency

More caching

- Cache web server responses (e.g. entire pages, pieces of pages)
  - Reduce load on web servers
  - Example: Varnish-Cache application “accelerator”
Caching using content distribution networks (CDNs)

- Serving large media assets can be expensive to serve (high bandwidth costs, tie up web servers)
  - E.g., images, streaming video
- Physical locality is important
  - Higher bandwidth
  - Lower latency

London Content Distribution Network
Source: http://www.telco2.net/blog/2008/11/amazon_cloudfront_yet_more_tra.html
CDN usage example

Facebook photo:
Page URL:

Image source URL:
https://sphotos-a.xx.fbcdn.net/hphotos-prn1/522152_10151325164543897_1133820438_n.jpg
Summary: scaling modern web sites

- **Use parallelism**
  - Scale-out parallelism: leverage many web servers to meet throughput demand
  - Elastic scale-out: cost-effectively adapt to bursty load
  - Scaling databases can be tricky (replicate, shard, partition by access pattern)
    - Consistency issues on writes

- **Exploit locality and reuse**
  - Cache everything (key-value stores)
    - Cache the results of database access (reduce DB load)
    - Cache computation results (reduce web server load)
    - Cache the results of processing requests (reduce web server load)
  - Localize cached data near users, especially for large media content (CDNs)

- **Specialize implementations for performance**
  - Different forms of requests, different workload patterns
Final comments

- It is true that performance of straight-line application logic is often very poor in web-programming languages (orders of magnitude left on the table in Ruby and PHP).

- BUT... web development is not just trivial hacking in slow scripting languages. Scaling a web site is a very challenging parallel-systems problem that involves many of the optimization techniques and design choices studied in this class: just at much larger scales
  - Identifying parallelism and dependencies
  - Workload balancing: static vs. dynamic partitioning issues
  - Data duplication vs. contention
  - Throughput vs. latency trade-offs
  - Parallelism vs. footprint trade-offs
  - Identifying and exploiting reuse and locality

- Many great sites (and blogs) on the web to learn more:
  - [www.highscalability.com](http://www.highscalability.com) has great case studies (see “All Time Favorites” section)
  - James Hamilton’s blog: [http://perspectives.mvdirona.com](http://perspectives.mvdirona.com)